

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/249,489	KONDO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mujtaba K Chaudry	2133	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Mujtaba K Chaudry. (3) _____
 (2) Jim Thein (applicant's representative). (4) _____

Date of Interview: February 27, 2003, 11:00am.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ~~Patent~~ US 6307 979 B1

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: yes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (see below)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Interview Summary: Claim objection and specification objections were discussed. Claim rejection under 35 USC 112 will be resolved in amendment. Inclusion of US 6307 979 B1 was submitted.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required